



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DNW May-07

INEOS USA LLC
3030 WARRENVILLE RD, S/650
LISLE IL 60532

COPY MAILED

MAY 31 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Taheri et al. :
Application No. 09/920981 : ON PETITION
Filed: 08/02/2001 :
Attorney Docket Number 39000 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 19 March, 2007, under 37 CFR 1.137(b)¹ to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned on 17 April, 2006, for failure to timely respond to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121) mailed on 16 March, 2006, which set a one (1) month shortened period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 3 October, 2006. The petition under 37

¹ Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

CFR 1.137(a) filed on 13 November, 2006, was dismissed on 8 February, 2007.

The address in the petition is different from the correspondence address. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address in the petition. All future correspondence, however, will be mailed solely to the address of record.

The application is forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 1725 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

Cc: INEOS USA LLC
CENTRAL PARK OF LISLE
4225 NAPERVILLE ROAD, S/600
LISLE IL 60532